



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,154	12/20/2001	Michael P. Cornaby	10559-642001/P12486	3570
20985 75	590 12/02/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICH P.O. BOX 1022	IARDSON, PC		KIM, KENNETH S	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2111	·

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
0.55	10/032,154	CORNABY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth S. KIM	2111
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a) □ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th     3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.8 and 26-38 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 9-25 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appointy documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Outline of References Cited (PTO-892)   Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Outline of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

1. Claims 1-38 remain for examination.

2. Claims 1, 8, and 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beckwith et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,136,696.

The rejection is respectfully maintained for the reasons set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference. (Prediction must be validated; col. 10, line 60)

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the reference does not teach sequencing microinstructions out-of-order.

The reference teaches sequencing microinstructions based upon branch prediction, and out-of-order sequencing occurs when sequencing is based on wrong prediction.

Furthermore the claims do not recite such a limitation.

Applicant further argued that the reference does not teach a three way superscalar processor. The stack taught in the reference can be incorporated in any processor including a three way superscalar processor.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

4. Claims 2-7 and 9-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

\*\*\*

November 23, 2005

KENNETH'S. KIM
BIMARY EXAMINER